

PAUL B. SALVATY (State Bar No. 171507)
PSalvaty@winston.com
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
333 S. Grand Ave., 38th Fl.
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1543
Telephone: (213) 615-1700
Facsimile: (213) 615-1750

ABBE DAVID LOWELL (*pro hac vice*)
AbbeLowellPublicOutreach@winston.com
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
1901 L St., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-3508
Telephone: (202) 282-5000
Facsimile: (202) 282-5100

BRYAN M. SULLIVAN (State Bar No. 209743)
bsullivan@earlysullivan.com
ZACHARY C. HANSEN (State Bar No. 325128)
zhansen@earlysullivan.com
EARLY SULLIVAN WRIGHT GIZER & McRAE LLP
6420 Wilshire Boulevard, 17th Fl.
Los Angeles, California 90048
Telephone: (323) 301-4660
Facsimile: (323) 301-4676

Attorneys for PLAINTIFF
ROBERT HUNTER BIDEN

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ROBERT HUNTER BIDEN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PATRICK M. BYRNE, an individual,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:23-cv-09430-SVW-PD

Hon. Stephen V. Wilson

JOINT 26(F) REPORT

Date: March 18, 2024
Time: 3:00 P.M.
Place: Courtroom 10A

1 Plaintiff Robert Hunter Biden (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Patrick M. Byrne
2 (“Defendant”) (jointly referred to as the “Parties”) hereby submit this Joint Rule 26(f)
3 Report and Discovery Plan, pursuant to the Court’s February 15, 2024, Order (Dkt. 29) as
4 follows:

5 **a. Statement of the Case:**

6 Plaintiff initiated this action on November 8, 2023, alleging one cause of action of
7 Defamation against Defendant for making, publishing, and repeating false and defamatory
8 statements about Plaintiff. (Dkt. 1.) Defendant answered on February 13, 2024, and
9 neither admitted or denied some allegations and denied others. Defendant has asserted
10 eight affirmative defenses: (1) Plaintiff is a public figure, (2) Truth, (3) 1st Amendment,
11 (4) Good faith/no malice, (5) Failure to state a claim, (6) Unclean hands, (7) Failure to
12 mitigate, (8) Claim is time barred. (Dkt. 25.)

13 **Plaintiff’s Statement:**

14 Plaintiff asserts that Defendant has made, published, and repeated false and
15 defamatory statements about Plaintiff, knowing full well that the statements are false, for
16 the purpose of subjecting Plaintiff to harassment, intimidation, and harm. The false and
17 defamatory statements that Defendant made about Plaintiff are contained in an “interview”
18 that appeared in the “inaugural” issue of “Capitol Times Magazine,” which appears
19 originally to have been published on or about June 27, 2023. The false statements include
20 (but are not limited to) allegations that Plaintiff solicited hundreds of millions of dollars
21 in bribes from the Iranian government in exchange for Plaintiff’s “family” releasing to
22 Iran billions of dollars in frozen funds and ensuring that the United States would “go easy”
23 on Iran during “nuclear talks” between the two countries. Plaintiff asserts that the false
24 statements were expressed and intended to be understood as statements of fact. The
25 statements are also defamation per se because Defendant falsely accuses Plaintiff of
26 engaging in criminal acts. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant has promoted,
27 disseminated, and republished his defamatory statements about Plaintiff many times since
28 the original publication including on social media and in subsequent interviews.

1 **Defendant's Statement:**

2 Defendant has vehemently denied that he has defamed Plaintiff. Defendant
3 strenuously denies Plaintiff suffers from any damages attributed to the Defendant. If
4 Plaintiff suffers and allegedly continues to suffer damages as stated in Plaintiff's
5 Complaint, herein, then these alleged damages are a direct result of Plaintiff's actions and
6 conduct in this world. Moreover, Plaintiff is a public figure and is the son of a sitting U.S.
7 President, Joe Biden and has assumed the risk associated with his behavior and conduct
8 and has been indicted in this Court on nine federal tax charges after federal prosecutors
9 alleged he engaged in a four- year scheme to avoid paying at least \$1.4 million in federal
10 taxes for failure to file and tax evasion. Plaintiff was also indicted on Federal gun charges
11 in 2023. Defendant also states that statements he has made are the truth as to Hunter Biden
12 and that Defendant has the right to Free Speech under the Constitutions of the United
13 States and the State of California. Defendant at all times has acted in good faith and
14 without motive.

15 **b. Subject Matter Jurisdiction:**

16 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), this Court has original subject matter jurisdiction
17 over all civil actions in which the amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of
18 \$75,000 and is between citizens of different states. Each of these criteria is met here. (See
19 Dkt. 1.)

20 **c. Legal Issues:**

21 The key legal issue presented by this case is whether Defendant's statements
22 about Plaintiff constitute defamation.

23 **d. Parties:**

24 **Parties**

25 The Parties in this case are Plaintiff Robert Hunter Biden and Defendant Patrick M.
26 Byrne.

27 ///

28 ///

Plaintiff's Witnesses

1. Plaintiff
2. Defendant
3. Michael Flynn

Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this list as appropriate.

Defendant's Witnesses

1. Plaintiff
2. Defendant
3. Any and all government witnesses, including U.S. attorneys.

Defendant reserves the right to amend this list as appropriate.

e. **Damages:**

Plaintiff's Position

Plaintiff seeks actual damages as a result of the harm resulting from Defendant's defamation, as well as punitive and exemplary damages for the willful, oppressive, fraudulent and malicious conduct. Because Defendant's defamatory statements constitute defamation per se, general damages in favor of Plaintiff are presumed as a matter of law. Plaintiff also seeks any other relief that may be appropriate under law or in equity.

Defendant's Position

As set forth in the Statement of the Case, Defendant denies that he defamed Plaintiff or that Plaintiff suffered any damages.

f. Insurance:

Plaintiff: Not applicable.

Defendant: Defendant is not aware of any insurance would provide coverage for the claims alleged in this action.

Motions:

Plaintiff: Plaintiff does not currently anticipate any motions to add other parties or claims, transfer venue, or challenge the court's jurisdiction. Although not anticipated at this time, Plaintiff reserves the right to seek to amend the complaint based on further

1 discovery and to file discovery motions as necessary.

2 **Defendant:** At this time, Defendant does not currently anticipate any motions to
3 add other parties or claims, transfer venue, or challenge the court's jurisdiction. Although
4 not anticipated at this time, Defendant reserves the right to seek leave to amend his answer
5 or to file a cross-complaint based on information learned during discovery and to file
6 discovery motions as necessary.

7 **h. Dispositive Motions:**

8 **Plaintiff:** Plaintiff does not believe that there are any issues that are appropriate for
9 resolution by motion at this time given the early stage of the case. However, Plaintiff
10 intends to move for summary judgment upon completion of fact discovery.

11 **Defendant:** Defendant does not believe that there are any issues that are appropriate
12 for resolution by motion at this time given the early stage of the case. However, Defendant
13 intends to move for summary judgment upon completion of fact discovery. Defendant
14 may also file an anti-SLAPP motion.

15 **i. Manual for Complex Litigation:**

16 Use of the manual is not warranted.

17 **j. Trial:**

18 **Trial Estimate**

19 The Parties request a jury trial and estimate five to seven days.

20 **Trial Counsel**

21 Trial Counsel for Plaintiff includes Abbe David Lowell and Paul Salvaty of
22 Winston & Strawn LLP and Bryan M. Sullivan of Early Sullivan Wright Gizer & McRae
23 LLP. Trial Counsel for Defendant includes Michael J. Smith of the Law Firm of Michael
24 J. Smith and Associates, PLLC and Nabil L. Abu-Assal, Douglas P. Roy, and Daniel A.
25 Corren of Cypress LLP.

26 **Trial Date**

27 The Parties request a trial date of December 9, 2024, at the earliest (with a final
28 pretrial conference date of November 22, 2024). Lead trial counsel for Plaintiff, Abbe

1 Lowell, is representing Plaintiff in unrelated criminal proceedings in two different
2 jurisdictions (California and Delaware) which are likely to be very active during the
3 summer and possibly the fall. A scheduling conference in the Delaware criminal
4 proceeding is set for March 13, 2024, and counsel will update the Court of the resulting
5 criminal trial schedule during the March 18 conference. In addition, an October trial date
6 would likely fall in the Jewish holiday season and would make it difficult for lead trial
7 counsel to participate while observing the Jewish holidays. Trial counsel for Plaintiff,
8 Paul Salvaty, is currently scheduled for trial starting October 1, 2024 in the Central District
9 of California before Judge Hsu (*Farren Bryant, Jr. v. D. Ascuncion et al.*, Case No. 2:19-
10 cv-06889-WLH (AGR), filed Aug. 8, 2019), which is estimated to take approximately
11 three court days.

12 **k. Status of Discovery:**

13 The Parties have not yet conducted discovery in this case.

14 **l. Discovery Plan:**

15 The Parties do not believe that any changes to the limitations on the timing, form,
16 or requirement for disclosure under FRCP Rule 26(a) should be imposed. The Parties are
17 committed to complying with the Federal Rules and the Court's Local Rules and are
18 further committed to using the meet-and-confer process throughout the discovery period.
19 Pursuant to FRCP Rule 26(f)(3), the Parties propose the following discovery plan:

- 20 1. The Parties expect to make their initial disclosures on or by April 1, 2024,
21 within two weeks of the Initial Status Conference.
- 22 2. The Parties intend to exchange written discovery requests including
23 without limitation requests for production, requests for admission, and
24 special interrogatories, and take depositions of percipient witnesses.
- 25 3. The Parties do not wish to conduct discovery in phases.
- 26 4. Plaintiff wishes to submit a proposed Stipulated Protective Order for the
27 production and handling of any confidential information and documents
28 to be produced in this case. Defendant will not stipulate to a Stipulated

Protective Order. If a specific document needs to be produced and the Parties agree that it requires protection from disclosure or use outside of this lawsuit, the Parties can do so on an as-needed basis.

5. The Parties agree to email service of discovery and case-related documents.
6. The Parties do not anticipate any issues with preserving discoverable information at this time.

m. Discovery Cut-Off:

The Discovery Schedule will change based on the confirmed trial date.

Plaintiff suggests the following schedule based on a trial date of **December 9, 2024**: non-expert discovery cut-off of **July 12, 2024**; expert discovery cut-off of **August 30, 2024** (initial reports due **July 26, 2024** and rebuttal reports due **August 16, 2024**); last day to file dispositive motions of **September 6, 2024**; initial trial filings date of **November 1, 2024**; responsive trial filings date of **November 8, 2024**; and pretrial conference date of **November 22, 2024**.

Defendant suggests the following schedule based on a trial date of **December 9, 2024**: non-expert discovery cut-off of **September 12, 2024**; expert discovery cut-off of **September 30, 2024** (initial reports due **August 26, 2024** and rebuttal reports due **September 16, 2024**); last day to file dispositive motions of **October 18, 2024**; initial trial filings date of **November 1, 2024**; responsive trial filings date of **November 8, 2024**; and pretrial conference date of **November 22, 2024**.

n. Expert Discovery:

The Parties intend to use 1-3 experts each at trial. The Expert Discovery Schedule will change based on the confirmed trial date.

Plaintiff suggests the following schedule based on a trial date of **December 9, 2024**: non-expert discovery cut-off of **July 12, 2024**; expert discovery cut-off of **August 30, 2024** (initial reports due **July 26, 2024** and rebuttal reports due **August 16, 2024**); last day to file dispositive motions of **September 6, 2024**; initial trial filing date of **November 1, 2024**.

1 **2024**; responsive trial filing date of **November 8, 2024** and pretrial conference date of
2 **November 22, 2024**.

3 Defendant suggests the following schedule based on a trial date of **December 9, 2024**: non-expert discovery cut-off of **September 12, 2024**; expert discovery cut-off of
4 **September 30, 2024** (initial reports due **August 26, 2024** and rebuttal reports due
5 **September 16, 2024**); last day to file dispositive motions of **October 18, 2024**; initial trial
6 filings date of **November 1, 2024**; responsive trial filings date of **November 8, 2024**; and
7 pretrial conference date of **November 22, 2024**.

8 **o. Settlement/ADR:**

9 The Parties have not yet engaged in settlement efforts or talks but are interested in
10 participating in a settlement conference before the Magistrate Judge assigned to this case.
11 They believe that an appropriate time for participating in such a settlement conference
12 would be after completion of fact discovery and prior to the expert discovery cut-off
13 deadline. Defendant has suggested that, if the Parties are unable to resolve this dispute at
14 the settlement conference with Magistrate Judge Donohue, then they should engage in
15 private mediation. The Parties do not believe that a limited trial on bifurcated issues is
16 warranted at this time or that bifurcation would facilitate an overall disposition of this
17 case.

18 **p. Other Issues:**

19 The Parties are not aware of any other matters that would facilitate the just, speedy,
20 and inexpensive disposition of this matter. The Parties will continue to negotiate in good
21 faith on how they might best move this action forward with these principles in mind.

22
23 Respectfully submitted,

24
25 Dated: March 11, 2024

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

26 By: /s/ Paul Salvaty

27 Paul Salvaty

28 Abbe David Lowell

EARLY SULLIVAN WRIGHT
GIZER & McRAE LLP

By: /s/ Bryan M. Sullivan

Bryan M. Sullivan

Zachary C. Hansen

Attorneys for PLAINTIFF
ROBERT HUNTER BIDEN

CYPRESS LLP

By: /s/ Nabil L. Abu-Assal

Nabil L. Abu-Assal

Douglas P. Roy

Daniel A. Corren

THE LAW FIRM OF MICHAEL
J. SMITH AND ASSOCIATES,
PLLC

By: /s/ Michael J. Smith

Michael J Smith

Attorneys for DEFENDANT
PATRICK M. BYRNE

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 5-4.3(a)(2)(i)

I, Paul Salvaty, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file this joint report. Per Local Rule 5-4.3(a)(2)(i), I hereby attest that all other signatories listed and whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have authorized the filing.

Dated: March 11, 2024

By: /s/ Paul Salvaty

Paul Salvaty